



January 9, 2012

Ms. Arlene Lowery  
203-1808 Smith Street  
Regina, Saskatchewan  
S4P 2N4

Dear Ms. Lowery,

RE: Arlene Lowery  
v.  
Saskatchewan Government, et al.  
File No.: 33944

I hereby acknowledge receipt of your motion for reconsideration of the decision of the Supreme Court of Canada rendered on March 24, 2011, dismissing your application for leave to appeal to this Court.

I wish to advise you that Rule 73 of the *Rules of the Supreme Court of Canada* states that there shall be no reconsideration of an application for leave to appeal unless there are exceedingly rare circumstances that warrant consideration by the Court. It also specifies that a motion for reconsideration must include an affidavit setting out the exceedingly rare circumstances of the case that warrant consideration by the Court and an explanation of why the issue was not previously raised.

I have reviewed your motion for reconsideration and your affidavit in support. I regret to inform you that, in my opinion, your motion does not reveal the exceedingly rare circumstances which would warrant reconsideration by this Court. Furthermore, please note that Rule 78 of the *Rules of the Supreme Court of Canada* is not applicable to this matter.

As a result, I am returning your documents.

Yours truly,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Roger Bilodeau'.

Roger Bilodeau, Q.C.  
Registrar

c.c.: Mr. Darryl J. Brown  
Mr. Henry S. Brown, Q.C.  
Mr. Nicholas M. Cann

FORM 25C


CERTIFICATE

---

I, Arlene Lowery hereby certify that:

- i. The following Supreme Court of Canada Judge the Right Honourable Chief Justice of Canada Beverley McLachlin, Q.C. has been previously involved or connected with this case.
  - ii. When the Applicant made her application To Take Leave to Appeal I considered making a request that her Honour not be involved as I had previously made a complaint about Justice Chicoine to her as the head of the Canadian Judicial Council (CJC).
  - iii. I reasoned that even though the result I was looking for was not forthcoming I reasoned that she would not pose a Conflict of Interest since another judge or lawyer handled the matter.
  - iv. Recently I read on the internet and the Supreme Court of Canada website, 3 speeches which her Honour gave which had led me to conclude that she could pose a Conflict of Interest afterall.
  - v. The topics of the 3 speeches I am referring to pertain to 'self-litigants', 'the mentally ill and the law' and 'Judicial Independence'.
  - vi. Her apparent perspective reflects 'a mind-set' that is concerning to me, due to the fact that I am a self-litigant; that I am currently being treated for a 'major depression' which is knowledge to the Court, and further it is my firm belief that 'corruption and partisanship' is an issue.
  - vii. Therefore it is my position that the Right Honourable Chief Justice of Canada Beverley McLachlin, Q.C. could prejudice me and my granddaughter, who is struggling with Post-traumatic Stress Disorder (Severe Anxiety Disorder) and is also being treated, and has requested to be a 'joinder' Applicant (Appellant).
- \* Explanation and Excerpts from these 3 Speeches are at Exhibit A

SIGNED BY:



December 16<sup>th</sup>, 2010